

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/931,650	Applicant(s) MISHRA ET AL.	
	Examiner Andy Ho	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Brian Pangrle, Reg. No. 42,973.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 7-8, 12, 19 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested the applicant to add claim 5 to claim 1, to amend claims 7 and 12, and to correct 101 issues in claims 1, 8, 12, 19 and 24. The applicant agreed with the suggestions and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment..